

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 6 OCTOBER, 1970

Remimeo
C/S Hats
C/S Checksheets
Class VIIIs

C/S Series 19

FOLDER ERROR SUMMARIES

A folder error summary (FES) is usually done by a student especially an interne well taught, learning his practical tech or by an auditor especially hired to do FESs.

It requires many hours to put a folder in sequence and then to list all errors in it.

It should NEVER be done by a working C/S who is responsible for an org's delivery flow.

COST

It is costly to do an FES and where possible the cost, duly consulting the pc should be borne by the pc as a special service.

It can be directly paid for or simply deducted from auditing hours purchased.

NECESSITY

A good C/S looking over a folder usually goes back to the last time the pc was doing really well and notes actions necessary from that point.

Programs of a lengthily audited case (fat folder) usually cover LIB, L3A, L4A lists and usually take up 2 way comm on earliest sessions and earliest auditing ever given (for auditors). Thus an FES is not vital in all cases.

I like to have an FES done so I can compare areas covered by the pc in 2 way comm and be sure they come up in subsequent repair sessions.

Also where I can see a lot of bad lists existed, I want to be able to assure they get handled.

Thus an FES is useful.

On Flag, an FES is carefully done so as to detect areas of out tech in the world. This is called "the Flub Catch System".

Auditors and C/Ses so detected are sent to cramming in their areas to smooth out their tech knowledge or TRs, all to improve delivery of tech.

Flub Catch makes an FES vital on Flag.

Higher orgs have a similar interest in an FES.

HALTING DELIVERY

To halt delivery because of a missing folder or to do a long time consuming FES is of course contrary to the need to deliver auditing and can result in a no-auditing situation worse than a blind repair.

BLIND REPAIR

When no FES is done, one is doing a Blind Repair. The Progress Pgm and Advance Pgm may have holes in them.

However there are only five areas of danger:

1. Flubbed lists.
2. A bad series of evaluative sessions should be detected and directly handled.
3. Flubbed Power.
4. Extended or flubbed Interiorization.
5. Missed grades.

If a C/S doesn't know about these it may be that the case will not properly repair and he also does not know what Advance Program to do.

But as these are specific areas they can be done on a Blind Repair by making them into a list and getting them meter checked.

Example: Pc has lost his folder. Has been audited for several years on and off. One can clear the idea of lists "Someone written down items you say to a question" and see if it gets a read and if so do L4A Method Three "On Lists". One can ask if any auditor ever told the pc what to think and if that reads 2 way comm or prepcheck those sessions by that auditor. Power can be checked by rehab unless the person has gone Clear on the Clearing Course since at which time Power will not need repair. The commands of Interiorization rundown can be checked with 2 way comm or rehabbed. What won't rehab you run. Missed Grades can be checked, rehabbed or run including any Expanded Grades. The pc usually recognizes the process if it has been run.

Thus one can wander through a Blind Repair without fouling up the case and add to it the inevitable actions common to all Progress Pgm's.

SUMMARY

An FES has value. It is valuable to the pc to get one done. It is a long and extensive action. It can be sold directly or removed from hours bought. It is of vast interest in training auditors and should be done by already trained Internes or specially hired auditors. It is NOT done by a C/S and it is NOT used to halt all delivery of auditing and jam up the C/S lines. A lost or delayed folder is not a barrier to a very well trained C/S who has starrated a C/S Course. An FES is very useful and tends to eradicate any mystery for a C/S.

L. RON HUBBARD
FOUNDER